1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7	BRANDON MCWILLIAMS,	
8	Petitioner,	CASE NO. C14-5859 BHS
9	v.	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
10	SCOTT RUSSELL,	
11	Respondent.	
12		'
13	This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")	
14	of the Honorable David W. Christel, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 14), and	
15	Petitioner Brandon McWilliams' ("McWilliams") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 16).	
16	On May 20, 2015, Judge Christel issued the R&R recommending that the Court	
17	dismiss McWilliams' petition without prejudice for failure to exhaust his administrative	
18	remedies. Dkt. 14. Judge Christel concluded that McWilliams had failed to present his	
19	federal law claims to the state court because his claims were all based on violations of	
20	state law. <i>Id.</i> On June 29, 2015, McWilliams filed objections asserting numerous	
21	arguments in support of reviewing the merits of his petition. Dkt. 16.	
22		

1 The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly objected to. The district judge may accept, reject, or 3 modify the recommended disposition; receive further evidence; or return the matter to the magistrate judge with instructions. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). 4 5 In this case, McWilliams' federal claims are unexhausted. While McWilliams correctly notes that, in some instances, federal law and state law "mirror each other," 6 7 there is no precedent for the proposition that such similarities relieve a petitioner from the 8 duty to exhaust his federal claims in state court. McWilliams is required to present his federal claims to the state court before he may properly assert those claims in this federal 9 10 petition. Dismissal of this petition without prejudice is the proper remedy so that 11 McWilliams retains the opportunity to exhaust his federal claims in state court before 12 seeking relief on those claims in this court. Therefore, the Court having considered the 13 R&R, McWilliams' objections, and the remaining record, does hereby find and order as 14 follows: 15 The R&R is **ADOPTED**; and (1) 16 (2) This petition is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. 17 Dated this 18th day of August, 2015. 18 19 20 United States District Judge 21 22